

Alan Watt
"Cutting Through The Matrix" Live On RBN (#257)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Feb. 16, 2009:

Mad Philosophic Tomes of Known Unknowns:

"Isaiah Berlin Promoted Negative Freedom,
Dumb Happy People with No Leaders to Lead 'em,
With Positive Freedom You'd Sell Out Your Soul,
As the Masses are Led to a Common Goal,
Leo Strauss Pushed Necessity of Noble Lie,
A Myth for the Age, Causing Many to Die,
He Said It was Necessary for Unification,
Exaggerate the Enemy, Use Vilification,
Keep the People at Home, Always in Fear
Of Terrorism Creeping Ever So Near,
Amplify Threats, Invent the Others,
Those Involved Take Oath, All are 'Brothers,'
They Undergo in Delusion a Mental Metanoia,
Emerging from Think Tanks, Full-Blown Paranoia"
© Alan Watt Feb. 16, 2009

Monday February 16th 2009

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Feb. 16, 2009 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

**Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and
DONATIONS:**

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, **INTERNAL** postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on the 16th of February 2009.

Newcomers: look into www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com and download the previous talks I've given. There's lots of them, where I try to fill in the gaps in history and give you a bigger picture of what really goes on in the world, because it is so complex; and, much of it, is really hidden from the public's view, intentionally. That's how you rule people, you don't tell them the truth at any time, you can expose it long after the events have happened, that's called *Revelation Of The Method* and they do that every few years, to show us how we've all been fooled; and, as they're doing that, they've already got you under the next con, that's how the system works.

Also look into www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu for transcripts of these talks, which you can download and print up and they're written in the various languages of Europe.

You can go to www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com website and order the books and discs I have for sale, that keeps me going; or you can help donate, that keeps things ticking over, and it just ticks over these days, that's for sure.

Now, I talked about the *Revelation Of The Method*, and how, every few years, someone that seems to be authorised to come out and tell us a lot of truth, not the whole truth, but a lot of truth. Much of it is also intended to show you where you can go with your studies or your thinking, because it's politically incorrect to talk about certain other truths and one of these exposers, really, of recent times is Adam Curtis, from the BBC, who does a lot of documentaries on the 1970s, '80s, '90s - up to the present time. He's got lots of documentaries out on what happens behind the scenes, the real reasons things really happen; he shows you how the media will spout out the official party line on any particular major topic and then he'll show you the truth of what's really happening, behind the scenes, at the same time. It's an excellent *Revelation Of The Method* that he presents to you; but, as I say, he can't go into everything, because, these days, especially, so many topics are simply incorrect, you can't talk about them, by law. That ties in with other philosophers as well who say that philosophers themselves, in times gone by, wrote esoterically for the reader; in other words, the pages themselves would demand that you question things further than the information on the pages, because, even in their days, there were certain topics they couldn't touch on, because of politics and establishments and so on. Nothing really has changed.

What Adam Curtis shows you, really, is that all through the twentieth-century, and escalating through it, right up to the present time, that think-tanks, and I've gone into the think-tanks to quite some deep extent and the big foundations that run them, have been advising politicians on where to go. Initially, when you look at all the different directions that they go: the left wing, the right wing and so on, it seems complex but it's not really, because they'd all end up regardless, at the same point. They're both on the same track and going on the same direction, in a different way, that's all, they arrive at the same point, of Globalism, Sustainable Development and so on. It's a matter of techniques used on the public that slightly differs, not terribly greatly either.

I'm going to be back with more on this particular topic, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt, this is Cutting Through The Matrix, just discussing a series of documentaries put out by Adam Curtis; and he put out one series called The Power of Nightmares. What this is really is a technique that's used on the public; and although he goes in to mention that the Neo-Conservatives, under Bush, used this technique, to be honest with you, I think they all use it. However, the idea really came from Leo Strauss, from Michigan University, who taught quite a few of the members in fact that were round George Bush; Paul Wolfowitz was one of the main characters who took this to heart, this whole theory that *The Noble Lie*, that came from Plato, should be used on the public. The Nobel Lie, being a form of myth that you create for the public, in different eras, to get them all working together on a particular path that's pre-destined, by those at the top, or pre-determined by those at the top, who are running the show. This is where the whole idea of terrorism fits in: that sometimes you have to terrorize the public, in order to have them give up rights and freedoms and allow themselves to be managed in a particular direction; and this is where this concept originated from.

Strauss has been heavily criticised, but he's up on Wikipedia too, you can see quite a lot about him and all the different characters that he taught, that ended up going on to other universities, to teach the same type of, I would call it theory, philosophic theory. Actually, they put it into practice and what Curtis shows you is - what's terrifying really - is that around all different governments, you have these, say, think-tanks running on these different theories and putting them into practice. We've all heard Bush talk about the New Freedom and like all of these explanations that they give to the public, they never define to the public what they mean, because these are topics that come out of theories in universities and the whole idea of a New Freedom came from Isaiah Berlin, of Oxford University. Isaiah Berlin was accused himself of being a Trotskyite, in camouflage you might say, a Trojan horse; but he was a member of All Souls College, Oxford. That's the inner group of the Royal Institute of International Affairs; and he came up with two theories of freedom or liberty, one was *Negative Freedom*, which was really what the US kind of founded on, what it really means is that everyone at the bottom, the general population, is allowed a maximum type of freedom and less intrusion by governments and that's really how it goes. Technically, anyone can try and get up to a higher standard of living, although, generally, it's fairly impossible for most people who are not born within a certain class, or who have friends in high places.

Positive Freedom was where governments gave a myth again, to take rights away, to get the whole society working on a particular direction, much like the Soviet System, we're all working towards a common cause idea. The neo conservatives chose the new freedom, which is what they call *Positive Freedom*, which really was a limitation of freedoms and rights, for the greater good, supposedly, by those at the top, who knew how to manage the great society in particular direction. It's all based on purpose of society, something most of us don't even think about, is purpose of society.

These boys at the top, really, when you compare it to the Soviet Union, and Adam Curtis did that in the Power Nightmares, he showed you that both systems were pretty-well identical. In fact, as Bernays was creating the American culture and

the European culture really - and using focus groups and study groups and all the rest of it - they were doing exactly the same thing in the Soviet Union; and Curtis gives you different clips from them doing that over there. A completely different picture of that which was painted in the West; and, not only that, the Soviet Union could actually employ the big corporations that were into marketing and use it on the Soviet system as well. Both systems were founded on the premise that society is like one great machine and that everyone's completely predictable; and that's how the whole Cold War was based, on that predictability of human beings. At the same time, there were the big profiteers, living on the Cold War, and advising presidents and so on and often with ties to the big companies that were making the missiles. That was common knowledge even at that time, it would break out into the papers every so often, in Britain and in the US and Canada and elsewhere.

However, as I say, most people don't think about having purpose and these guys always see their role is to give purpose or direct purpose in society and have us all go along this particular channel and I don't care if they call it positive or negative, or try and confuse us all with their various theories. The scary part is that politicians grab these theories and run with them, that's the scary part, because, as I say: in all of these theories, they don't really see a person as a distinct individual at all, in fact, I think all sides see the individual as a potential problem. That's why this world we're going into now, again run by the different theories of certain philosophers, present-day philosophers, in universities, that are backed by big foundations and think-tanks. That's why they want everyone in society, world-wide to have to give all their data over; and even if you don't, they'll take in anyway; and they also want us all psychologically- tested, to see if we're fit human beings, to be allowed to walk around, with our limited freedoms that we have. They also want the right to work on us, if they claim we're defective in some way or another. They want to standardise everything.

Those at the top, whenever they see society as having a direction and purpose, always see us as a big mass of people and any little differences amongst us are trivia to them, they want us all standardised into the new society. Again, under this whole incredible nonsense that's spouted-out from hailed professors at the top, to do with purpose and keeping the world population down and all the rest of it, all the possible things that could possibly go wrong and taking care of it, you end up with paranoid freaks at the top, running the world and that's what we have today are paranoid, ultra-paranoid freaks. Watching that Curtis series, the Power of Nightmares, you'll actually hear some of these paranoid freaks that advised presidents during the Cold War and made their whole lives' living off it, looking for things that were not there. One of them came up with the theory of the *Known Unknowns*; this is something that Rumsfeld kept prattling on about too, in his speeches:

"...there are known knowns; there are things we know we know. We also know there are known unknowns; that is to say we know there are some things we do not know. But there are also unknown unknowns -- the ones we don't know we don't know...."

And he went through this strange diatribe that no one could follow, but it all came from a university professor. And the idea was that, if you couldn't find a Soviet submarine anywhere, with the latest detection gear, and all the latest true information that was gathered by CIA and the air force and so on, then the fact that you couldn't find evidence of the submarines means that they do exist. That,

literally, was the theory: *Known Unknowns* and *Unknown Knowns*; absolute madness. But, you see when you get people living and working with think-tanks, that go into these strange areas of thought, taking a possibility and almost Talmudically putting it through a whole process of bends and twists, you would end up with nutcases at the end of it and that's what we have, nutcases.

This whole idea of global warming and the big greening movement is part, again, of the big collective great plan. They've got to give us a myth for the age, which we must all follow and sacrifice towards, you see, getting us all working together; and it doesn't matter if it's true or not, as many of them at the top have actually said, and I read some of the statements last week from some of them, who said this. It doesn't matter if it's true or not, it's for the greater good. These are myths for the age that Plato said they must use, to keep control over society; the cost is irrelevant to them.

Remember too: quite a few years back, there was documentation came out on wars and the techniques of wars and the real reasons behind wars. They said that if there was no enemy, you'd have to create one, to keep power over the public, because the only time the public truly obey and work together (in fact, the club of Rome said the same thing), is when there's a threat from somewhere. Therefore, you must invent the threat; and the Club of Rome decided to come up with global warming and man being at war with the environment and that couldn't persist, it had to be altered - they said 'that would fit the bill'.

They always have to have a threat, to keep government in control over the public. You find that Curtis also went into this technique of using terrorism; it was a blessing to them, personally I think it was all planned and staged and there's so much evidence that it was. In fact, they couldn't have done what they did, and got to where they are today, without the anti-terrorism bills and all the rest of it, without that, they needed it to happen. In fact, it was right up their alley; that's what they were founded on, that whole kind of theory: we need something to happen, to give us the right to take control over all data and everyone's life, that's really what they needed. That was so obvious and that's what came out.

Those people who can get access to it and I'll try and get some links to the *Power Of Nightmares*, someone will send them in, ultimately to me, I'll put them up on the website and you can go through them, there's quite a few things to watch there and it's worth seeing a few times, in fact, because it's packed with information. What he does omit are the other big players, like the big think-tanks and the Royal Institute of International Affairs and the Rockefeller Foundation, that have been planning this world for a long-long time, over a hundred years.

Back with more - after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt, this is Cutting Through The Matrix, trying to touch on some of what appears to be very complex schools of thought; but, really they're not so complex - after all, tyranny is tyranny - it's only a method of explaining what kind of tactics they'll use to introduce tyranny. The best kind, of course, it's true enough, is to make you a slave without you knowing it, that's the best kind, it's the most perfect kind of slavery there is. And the most perfect kind of tyranny, with the loving master at the top that you'd never believe was out to get you.

Remember, these characters all use, it doesn't matter what school of thought they come from, they all go along the same direction, as Bertrand Russell and others, and the Huxleys, and they're all based, again, on evolution. All of them come from Darwinism, they're all based on Darwinistic theories and evolution; and, again, the great leap forward, as they keep talking about, that we're all on this journey to the great leap forward, where we'll all suddenly evolve into something else. It's part of the Darwinian Theory and they're going to do it through Transhumanism, and the alteration of genes in humans. It's all over the newspapers, you can't get away from it how they're going to take away bad genes and out good genes in; and, of course, who will decide what's bad and good, especially when it comes to your psychic make-up and your mental-make-up?

Anyone with any ability to think too clearly might be regarded as a bad project and they have to alter you. That will come, when the State has the right to decide what's good for society.

This is from Bertrand Russell. I'm going to read a couple of articles before I take callers. This from the Scientific Outlook and he said:

SCIENCE, when it has once acquired a firm hold upon social organization, is hardly likely to stop short at those biological aspects of human life which have hitherto been left to the joint guidance of religion and instinct. We may, I think, assume that both the quantity and the quality of the population will be carefully regulated by the State, but that sexual intercourse apart from children will be regarded as a private matter so long as it is not allowed to interfere with work. As regards quantity, the State statisticians will determine as carefully as they can whether the population of the world at the moment is above or below the number which leads to the greatest material comfort per head.

Again, it's all this mass man idea, exactly the same as they were doing in the Soviet Union, their big test-bed.

They will also take account of all such changes of technique as can be foreseen. No doubt the usual rule will be to aim at a stationary population, but if some important invention, such as artificial food, should greatly cheapen the production of necessities, an increase of population might for a time be thought wise. I shall, however, assume that, in normal times, the world government will decree a stationary population.

Now, I've read many articles, in the past, to do with the deliberate sterilisation of the males across the world, but mainly across the Western world. There's no doubt on that: the data is there, I've got links on my website, taking you to even the CBC archives with the Disappearing Male. And it's so odd too, you look into most medications that are out there that are very common amongst people for high blood pressure etc, they'll tell you they'll probably cause sexual dysfunction, meaning you can't procreate. It's a common side-effect that they always seem to have. Here's an article that ties in with this, it's from England, someone sent it over, a newspaper, from the Metro; and I remember, when it came out, I had the article here on email, it's from Thursday September 25th 2008.

Infertility fear over drugs for depressed

Millions of men who take anti-depressants are risking infertility, researchers

warn. They could be damaging the DNA of their sperm by using the common drug, Paroxetine, tests show. The proportion of sperm damaged more than doubled from 13.8 per cent to 30.3 per cent after four weeks of taking the drug, researchers claimed.

Within four weeks, you're down!

The sperm recovered when Paroxetine use was halted but were damaged again within days of resuming. Reproduction expert Dr Allan Pacey said: 'The apparent increase in sperm DNA damage is alarming.'

It not only reduces the sperm count, it damages the DNA itself. This is quite the scientific weapon isn't it? It says:

More than one in ten people in Britain suffer from depression.

One in ten.

Paroxetine and Prozac - both known as selective serotonin-reuptake inhibitors - account for half of the 34million prescriptions to treat it.

It's an interesting thing on these serotonin-uptake inhibitors; it stops the re-uptake of serotonin. Now, what they also know is serotonin is vital for your fight or flight capabilities. If it's absent, you're very passive and calm, you don't react normally to threats that are there, for your own personal survival; and yet, this is the main drug that's been pushed for years. It's even found in animals and insects and even when insects swarm, the serotonin level goes way up, before the swarm. It's the same thing in warfare too: serotonin is up there when you're fighting for your life. It's a necessary part of survival. What was it I read before about that, remember? From different authors, that said you won't need your survival capabilities, because the State will be making all of your decisions for you; a pacified public. Interesting isn't it? These guys know what they're doing; but it also has the added effect, the benefit for them, that it'll help sterilise you; that other big problem that they have. It says:

Studies have linked SSRIs to problems such as loss of libido, erection problems and a failure to orgasm.

Plus your sperm are kaput.

Back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, this is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, reading from an article from the Metro, September the 25th 2008, about infertility, caused by very common drugs that are used today; and it says here that:

Studies have linked SSRIs

That's the reuptake inhibitors.

to problems such as loss of libido, erection problems and a failure to orgasm. The latest warning follows tests on 35 healthy men by researchers in New York. The level of DNA damage they found has been linked to fertility and pregnancy problems in the past. Mental health charity Mind said: 'We know

that SSRIs and other psychiatric medication can have serious sexual side effects. We need to see a greater commitment from drug companies to research both the side-effects of medication, and what can be done to reduce them.'

Well, that's blowing in the wind, because, basically this is part of the direction we're supposed to go in, depopulate too.

GlaxoSmithKline,

Of course, it's the big company again

which sells Paroxetine, distanced itself from the research, published in New Scientist, but said it would review the findings.

And that'll be the last we'll hear about it, of course, because they knew this before they gave it to the public. Of course they did. And this falls right in, again, with something that Paul Ehrlich talks about, and the Club of Rome too; and the Club of Rome, again, is one of these big / massive think-tanks that's interconnected, for treating the premiere policy that the rest of the think-tanks follow. They come up with the ideas and they pass them on to other think-tanks, they give them to the Round Tables and they hammer out how to implement it into society. You know, the Club of Rome developed a plan, it was called Global 2000 and the plan was to bump off / wipe out several billion people, by 2050. Isn't it interesting that those articles I read from the British Military think-tanks and the American think-tanks, that are now official policy, all say that by 2050, the population will suddenly plummet, isn't that a coincidence, when you tie it in with Global 2000, from the Club of Rome?

Paul Ehrlich, who's another one that was sent over from Europe, to join a whole bunch that were into mass movements and creating mass movements and controlling them; and dreaming up new problems for the future, that would get taught as myths, of course, Paul Ehrlich, famous for his work on the population threat, that's what he was always on about, populations problems. In his book called the Population Bomb, this is what he said:

It might be necessary to add a sterilant [A steriliser] to the drinking water or staple foods, to sterilise the entire population, giving the antidote to a select few. The forced sterilisation programmes of India and China may be the way of the future in a corporate-controlled society.

He says:

The population will drop from one of two solutions: the birth-rate will be lowered, or

This is what he calls it:

the death rate solution will be used through war, famine, pestilence.

He also said:

The time for sugar-coated solutions was long gone.

Really, beyond all the theories and the hoopla they give us, throughout the generations, the same agenda goes on. It's always been the same agenda: to

vastly-reduce the population. What it tells you is there's a group at the top that are petrified, they live in terror of the general public, of every country; and it also is indicative as to why they're terrified of the individual. You see, they're pretty-confident that they've got the masses sussed out, the average person sussed out, who live for themselves, they live for their little comforts and things that they're into, and they're happy and contented, and they don't do anything drastic with their lives that will change the world. Therefore, they're terrified about the oddball that comes along with a great idea; and if you've got a great idea, they'll either employ you at the top or they'll have to kill you, as Bertrand Russell said, because you might have the ability to get your views over to other people in a coherent simple manner and get them all protesting something that the government doesn't want. They'd have to recruit you on their side, as Russell said, or eliminate you; that's what he said, quite something isn't it?

Now, we've got Rico from Toronto on the line, are you there Rico?

Rico: How you doin' Alan?

Alan: I'm surviving here, yeah.

Rico: Nice to hear from you again. I called Friday and I just wanted a little bit more detail and analysis, I asked you about just a little bit of Haiti, it was just very, you know I know you had other callers so, it was very short. I just wondered if you could give me a more detailed analysis.

Alan: Of what happened during the '80s did you say?

Rico: Oh no, I said Haiti, the island. 1804, twenty years of civil war to get its independence from slavery.

Alan: Well, as you know yourself though, they've never given that country a break, the UN or the US have been in, back and forth over the years and I think it has to have some personal strategic plan to their overall strategies, or they'd leave it alone, you know. There's got to be some reason that they're - there's either oil all around there or it could be under the sea or something - but there will be something that they want, in Geopolitics, for them to be always going in and out of there, you know.

Rico: It's funny you say that, because I was told, someone sent me emails saying how large deposits of oil were found; and, the thing is, the politicians were so corrupted, they never exploited it, they just came and robbed the treasury, took a 100 million, drug traffic, left and they never developed it for the people.

Alan: That's right, even when the World Bank gives them loans, it would go into pockets and never get used on the projects. That is true; but it's the same reason that Britain went into the Falkland Islands, because they'd done test-drilling off of the Falkland Islands for years and they said there are vast quantities of oil under there; and that's why Britain went there. Otherwise, they'd have let the Argentineans have it.

Rico: I always thought that it was a special plan, also, because if you look at the islands, you get like Jamaica, you don't come in with the UN and basically invade the country and stay there for years.

Alan: Yes. Definitely, because they either get something out of it, long-term,

there's got to be something they want there, because they won't put that kind of money and cost on a project; but they're not into helping people.

Rico: That's right. I even heard, somebody had told me too that they're taking out, and it's like guarded by soldiers, on some parts of the islands they take out a whole bunch of minerals and there's no accounting because the Haitian government, they're just gangsters. They just come, line their pockets and they really don't care. Yeah, I just wanted to hear, because you know I respect how you think, so I just wanted your analysis on it.

Alan: Yes, I think its Geopolitics in a strategic place, that's got some future role down the road.

Rico: Thank you so much Alan.

Alan: Thank you for calling. Now, I've got Dennis on the line, are you there Dennis?

Dennis: Yes Alan, hi.

Alan: Where you from?

Dennis: Ann Arbor, Michigan. I'm down in the American south, but I've just got a quick question. I heard your show the other day, you were talking about predictive programming and you were talking about Star Trek. I've never been a real TV fan, but I noticed years ago they had this NWO on wrestling and could you make a comment on that? And I'll hang up and listen.

Alan: Sure. With the Star Trek, it's quite obvious, when you look back on it, that, really it was all allegory for what was to happen on Earth. You have the spaceship, an Enterprise, again, remember the famous Enterprise: "God Bless Us on this Enterprise", it's one of the US mottos; and people don't ask what they mean by an 'enterprise'; they also call it their divine mission, they believe it's a mission for the US. Well, the Starship Enterprise was the whole thing about free trade, wherever they went across the galaxies, was to do with free trade; and the good guys would join them and anybody who didn't join them was a bad guy - and, generally, an awful-looking character as well - an alien. That was very simplistic programming, but they also brought into it population problems in one of their series. They brought into it eugenics on many series, and superior types and inferior types of characters, was put out in, again, a fictional form that downloads us with the solutions, because they always gave you solutions at the end of the programme; and we are quite happy with the solution that they've just offered us. We don't realise that they can bring that into real life, they've just programmed you to see a thing in a certain way, from their point of view, of course, the intended point of view.

When they came out with the world of wrestling and the new world order etc, part of it was also to get across to the public, the whole idea of conspiracy theories being part of fun and games and fiction, that was part of the whole thing, that was also predictive programming. And it's true enough, I've got to admit, within the whole patriot movement, as they're wrapping it in, they're bringing in all the fiction as well, they're bringing in the new age with it, the old stuff, the old star reading stuff and predictions and all that kind of stuff. Until, when you bring up the facts anymore, you're lumped in with the crazy ones and that's counter-intelligence, so that's how that works.

The world of wrestling, the New World Order Wrestling was part of that: it was such a silly thing, it was fiction, it was fun at the same time, and that's how you see anybody mentioning new world order, it's all bizarre and unreal to people. You create a kind of mystique of unreality, around the very topic itself. When you add in the aliens and all this kind of stuff, into conspiracy, tack it on to the facts of the New World Order. And I read last week, quote after quote from big people at the top, talking about the new world order, but now, when you mention it at the bottom, people also think that you believe in aliens too, or something like that. It's as simple as that, it's a technique, it's a technique that's being used; and it works very well.

Now, there's Steve from Colorado, are you there Steve?

Steve: Yes, hello, Alan?

Alan: Yes.

Steve: It's a pleasure to talk with you and thank you for the work you're doing. Now, yesterday, a lady called you, brought up a YouTube [video]. Anyway, I noticed that you didn't really flow with her too much on this, her premise was, the premise of this, is that RH Positive / RH Negative, we all know we're RH Positive or RH Negative, B Positive, B Negative. The RH factor being the Rhesus factor, a lot of folks know that but what they don't know is that it's the Rhesus monkey that they're talking about. Now, my point is: what they're saying is there's only 15% of the population, or thereabouts, that have this RH negative situation and a RH Negative woman cannot mate with a RH positive person, they just self-terminate, unless there's medical intervention. Watch the video, it's quite intense and that's why they breed with each other, to maintain the RH Negative. Now, this leads to, what you just talked about, this leads to what is this RH Negative; so, have you seen that video?

Alan: No, I haven't seen that, but it's when she went into the alien abduction thing and all that and wrapped it in -

Steve: No, no, what they said was that RH Negative people claim to have to been victims of alien abduction and I won't steal the thunder; please watch it at your earliest convenience. My point is that, yes, they are indicating that the RH Negative is an unknown situation. Now, these are hard medical facts, most of our genome they class as junk genes, because they're not really doing anything and the premise being that were not in touch with them, which puts us on a higher level; but you don't buy into any of that, but I'll leave that as it is, because I know that you don't. But, I will ask you this: have you spoken to any Olympians lately?

Alan: Who?

Steve: The Olympians, you've mentioned them before.

Alan: Oh, I don't think they have to speak, I think they announce things openly now, in newspapers.

Steve: I know you have a contact with one and I was wondering had you had a chance to have sit-down with him, and did he give you any additional information. For those who don't know: the Olympians are the rulers. Now, at any rate, Alan, you are aware of one and I was just wondering if you had touched base with them.

Alan: Not for a while.

Steve: Now, my last question, I won't tie up your time, is: what is your prognosis for the Obama administration, Obama's term.

Alan: Well, you know, I think it's obvious.

Steve: Do you think it's going to be a Lincolnesque type event?

Alan: It could very well be, there's no doubt they've put a man in here, at the right time, they've collapsed the economy, so he's going to take the heat for everything that happens, from now on.

Steve: Absolutely, he is the fall guy.

Alan: He's the fall guy and they've already said that bail-out packages are not going to fix this; but, in the meanwhile, he's going to increase the taxes so incredibly drastically, to try and pay for it.

Steve: Now, I will say I recommend to your audience, I'm a huge fan and if I ever won the lottery, I'm going send you half of it, because you're doing a fantastic job. Once again: you're doing a hell of a good job and you're putting your life on the line doing it. Listeners: understand this man is risking his life, because as you said, they get rid of people that become problems and so, for your courage, I salute you. May you live and be well and may all of us send you money, I mean, we have money we waste on the, what is it, the tax for idiots, called the lottery. Don't buy a lottery ticket; send the money to Alan Watt, please.

Alan: Well thanks.

Steve: And, once again sir, I'm honoured to speak with you.

Alan: Thanks for calling, Steve.

It's true, it's astonishing, it really is astonishing to watch people at the counters at stores, just buying handfuls of these scratch and wins and ripping them up and dropping them on the floor and buying another handful, one after the other. It's one hell of an addiction that they have; and any money they ever get back, the few bucks they get back, once in a hundred tickets, doesn't pay for all the money you've spent on them. But that's what they offer the people at the bottom, as a way out, as a hope, as a dream, again, like everything else, it's a dream and it's a big scam as well; but it's sad to watch people going through their money like that and just tossing it on the floor, very-very sad indeed.

Yes, there are very odd things at the top, as I say, from these characters; and just going through some of them, earlier on in the show there, from the Power of Nightmares by Curtis. I mean Isaiah Berlin comes in to Britain, from Germany with this strange theory, he's escalated to the top, with his strange theories and Tony Blair, even before he left office, wrote to Berlin - Berlin was on his deathbed at the time - asking him if he could possibly blend the negative with the positive freedoms, meaning an increased element of totalitarianism and still rule the people. Quite interesting, the correspondence they had between themselves.

Back with more - after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt, this is Cutting Through The Matrix; and one hour isn't long enough really to go into anything in any great depth, even some of these theories here, which you could probably talk on about for hours and hours and hours.

As I said before, these people that get into these think-tanks at the top, live in bizarre frames of mind, after they've been in it for a while, they get utterly paranoid and completely unrealistic about anything. In fact, I'm sure, once they've had two or three weeks training in a think-tank, they'll walk out and be paranoid if everybody passes them in the street. They don't see them as people anymore; they see them as potential threats. That's how crazy it all is; it's utterly mad in fact.

These are the characters that gave us the Game Theory during the Cold War, where they fed everyone's data through computers, in the Rand corporation, and tried to predict all of our movements and what we'd all be after and what kind of people we really were and what we'd want etc; etc; etc. And: how to pacify us and keep us happy, but dumb; and it's just astounding to me that we allow, of course we can't help it, because governments attract certain types for politicians and these are psychopathic individuals who want power, personal power / prestige and the chance to get their hands in the big honey pot of the tax payer. That's what they really want; so, it's only natural, once they're in, they turn around for 'well, what do we do now?' And here are all these lobbyists and think-tanks that are already giving policy to them, that's the jobs of these think-tanks. There's no democracy after all, because we don't vote the think-tanks in, we've got nothing to do with them; and each think-tank has its agenda and then when you trace each think-tank, they're all connected to the other think-tanks and they're all funded by the same handful of foundations. We should just bypass them all and go right to Mr. Rockefeller, who's the boss of all these think-tanks, who's into depopulation and so on and world government etc.; and over to the Rothschild branches, and the Royal Institute of International Affairs in Britain, because they're both partners. They're just different branches of the same organisation, that literally are setting out this whole world of theirs and they're using all these computers and feeding all our data into them, to see how they control us for the next hundred years, as they bring the populations down.

Where, in any elections is any of this ever mentioned, that I've talked about tonight? You never find it. What you get at election time is pension and education and so on and welfare and schools, that's what they talk about, they don't mention the NAFTA integration of the Americas, it's completely omitted from everything. World government isn't mentioned in any national election, anywhere, even though they've all been working on it, every government's got a department working on it and have had for it, since the end of World War II. Really, we're given a Punch and Judy show for the bottom, for the public at the bottom and we're never allowed into reality; but, again, people like Curtis are given the go-ahead, years after certain events, to give you the Revelation of the Method, the techniques that were used on you, in the past. It's just a pity that Adam Curtis isn't allowed to tell us what's happening now, while they're pulling of the next con and how they plan to manage us for the next 20-30 years. Because at that level of BBC, if that was spoken on the air, maybe more people would wake up and start getting involved in their own destiny, rather than allowing it to be made by people we'll never ever meet, especially those in the think-tanks.

Well, that's it for tonight. So, from Hamish and myself, it's goodnight; and may

your God - or your gods - go with you.

Transcribed by Bill Scott.

Topics of show covered in the following links:

[Video: "The Power of Nightmares" by Adam Curtis \(BBC\) 3 Part Series.](#)

["Infertility fear over drugs for depressed" \(metro.co.uk\) - Sept. 24, 2008.](#)

[Video: "Donald Rumsfeld 'Known Unknowns' " at Department of Defense news briefing - Feb. 12, 2002.](#)

["Rumsfeld Explaining 'Known Unknowns' " \[Transcript of DoD News Briefing - Secretary Rumsfeld and Gen. Myers\] \(defenselink.mil\) - Feb. 12, 2002.](#)

Quote:

"...there are known knowns; there are things we know we know.

We also know there are known unknowns; that is to say we know there are some things we do not know.

But there are also unknown unknowns -- the ones we don't know we don't know...."

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

[BOOKS](#) "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."

Volumes 1, 2, 3

Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." ([Español](#)) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ([Português](#))

[CDs](#)

Ancient Religions
and History MP3
CDs:
Part 1 (1998) and
Part 2 (1998-2000)

& Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'
Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

[DVDs](#)

"Reality Check Part 1"
Esoterica and ...TIME"

& "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,